UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,052	07/14/2006	Minami Matsui	P30310	2446
7055 GREENBLLIM	7590 02/04/2008 I & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.		EXAMINER WORLEY, CATHY KINGDON	
1950 ROLANI	CLARKE PLACE			
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1638	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/586,052	MATSUI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cathy K. Worley	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. Treply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this constant the mailing date of this constant the mailing date of the constant that the constant the constant that the constant				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.	•				
, —						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreiga) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Intentieu	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	, <u>—</u>	f Informal Patent Application equence alignment.				

10/586,052

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 4-9, and 11, drawn to a polynucleotide comprising SEQ ID NO:1 or a nucleotide sequence derived from SEQ ID NO:1 by substitution, deletion, addition, and insertion or one or more bases; and a vector, transformant, and transgenic plant comprising said polynucleotide.

Group II, claim(s) 2, drawn to a polynucleotide comprising SEQ ID NO:2 or 3 or a nucleotide sequence derived from SEQ ID NO:2 or 3 by substitution, deletion, addition, or insertion of one or more bases.

10/586,052

Art Unit: 1638

Group III, claim(s) 3, drawn to a polynucleotide comprising SEQ ID NO:4 or a nucleotide sequence derived from SEQ ID NO:4 by substitution, deletion, addition, or insertion of one or more bases.

Group IV, claim(s) 10 and 12, drawn to a method of regulating gene expression in a plant by transforming the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:1 into a plant; wherein the translation of a gene located downstream of the inserted sequence is positively regulated in the plant.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking groups I-IV is a polynucleotide which functions as an IRES, and this polynucleotide could be either SEQ ID NO:1 or SEQ ID NO:2 or SEQ ID NO:3 or SEQ ID NO:4 because the recited nucleotides are linked only by their function and not by any common core structure. Alonso et al teach the nucleic acid of GenBank Accession BH789726 (published on April 2, 2002), and this nucleic acid comprises the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:1 (see sequence alignment demonstrating a 100% match with SEQ ID NO:1). IRES function is an inherent property of SEQ ID NO:1, therefore, the nucleic acid taught by Alonso et al anticipates the technical feature linking the inventions. Therefore, the technical

10/586,052

Art Unit: 1638

feature linking the inventions of groups I-IV does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Accordingly, Groups I-IV are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable

10/586,052

Art Unit: 1638

product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of an invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

10/586,052

Art Unit: 1638

inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy K. Worley whose telephone number is (571) 272-8784. The examiner has a variable schedule but can normally be reached on M-F 10:00 4:00 with additional variable hours before 10:00 and after 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on (571) 272-0975.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cathy K. Worley Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1638

CKW